



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/632,214	08/03/2000	Joseph M. Cannon	Cannon-104-93-51	1174
7590 08/09/2005			EXAMINER	
DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR			ARANI, TAGHI T	
ROOM 4U-533C AGERE SYSTEMS INC.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOUR CONNELL DRIVE			2131	
BERKELEY HEIGHTS, NJ 07922-2747			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/632,214	CANNON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TI MAN INO DATE Africano de la companio di constituire de la const	Taghi T. Arani	2131	de a a			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	aress			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1-10	<u>0, 20-31</u> .					
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	- ''						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10, 20-31 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
=	Claim(s) 1-10 and 20-31 is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		· · · ———	Stage			
	application from the International Burea	•					
* (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmer	nt/s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	450)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	5)	f Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			
	Frademark Office		·				

Application/Control Number: 09/632,214 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 and 20-31 are pending.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed 5/31/2005 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1, 20, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 20, and 26 recite "a near end password entered at a time of facsimile initiation" and "a far end password entered at said time of facsimile initiation", while the specification, page 4, lines 22-24, recites "the comparison unit 101 is first adapted to compare a far-end password, received via pathway Ppw, against a near-end password stored in the comparison unit 101 or elsewhere within device 100 or within a device connected to device 100.", emphasis added. That is to say, the near end and far end password entered at a time of facsimile initiation are not supported by the disclosure as originally filed.

Claims 1, 20, and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 1, 20, and 26 recite "a near end password entered at a time of facsimile initiation" and "a far end password entered at said time of facsimile initiation", while the specification, page 4, lines 22-24, recites "the comparison unit 101 is first adapted to compare a far-end password, received via pathway Ppw, against a near-end password stored in the comparison unit 101 or elsewhere within device 100 or within a device connected to device 100.", emphasis added.. Claims 1, 20, and 26 recite "a near end password entered at a time of facsimile initiation" and "a far end password entered at said time of facsimile initiation", while the specification, page 4, lines 22-24, recites "the comparison unit 101 is first adapted to compare a far-end password, received via pathway Ppw, against a near-end password stored in the comparison unit 101 or elsewhere within device 100 or within a device connected to device 100.", emphasis added. That is to say, the near end and far end password entered at a time of facsimile initiation were not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Dependent claims 9-10, 21-25 and 27-31 are also rejected by virtue of their dependencies.

Application/Control Number: 09/632,214 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

Action is Final

4. THIS ACTION IS FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taghi T. Arani whose telephone number is (571) 272-3787. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/632,214 Page 5

Art Unit: 2131

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Taghi T. Arani, Ph.D.

Examiner Art Unit 2131

> AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100